

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

TB

				, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/037,276	12/31/2001	Ronald L. Edens	17,696	6825	
23556	7590 08/27/2003				
KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC.			EXAMINER		
401 NORTH I NEENAH, W	LAKE STREET I 54956		KIDWELL, MICHELE M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3761	12	
			DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	/				
		10/037,276	EDENS ET AL.	CM				
0	ffice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Michele Kidwell	3761					
The	MAILING DATE of this communication app			ress				
Period for Rep	Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u>	<u>June 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠ This	s action is FINAL. 2b) Th	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Clain	n(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application	n.						
4a) O	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)∏ Clain								
6)⊠ Clain	<u> </u>							
7) Clain								
8) Clain	n(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Pa	Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)∏ All	a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1.[1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Ackno	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice of Dr 3) Information	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s al Patent Application (PTO					
U.S. Patent and Trademark PTO-326 (Rev. 04-0		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 12					

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-35 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 - 2, 5 - 10, 13 - 19, 22 - 28 and 31 - 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by McFall et al. (US 6,432,096).

With respect to claim 1, Mc Fall et al. (hereinafter McFall) discloses an absorbent article comprising a fluid permeable cover (42), a liquid impermeable baffle (38) and an absorbent situated between the cover and the baffle (44), the absorbent article having a principal longitudinal axis and a principal transverse axis and being configured to provide a labial pad for disposition within the vestibule of a female wearer (col. 4, lines 22 – 56), the absorbent having a length of no greater than about 100 mm (col. 7, lines 10 – 13) a maximum width of no greater than about 70mm (col. 7, lines 15 – 17), a

Art Unit: 3761

widest portion, a width at the widest portion, a narrowest portion, a width at the narrowest portion which is smaller than said width at the widest portion, a maximum thickness of no greater than about 10 mm (col. 7, lines 26 - 32), first and second end regions and a central region disposed between the first and second end regions, first and second spaced apart longitudinal sides, and first and second spaced apart transverse ends, the longitudinal sides together with the transverse ends generally forming the periphery of the absorbent, wherein the widest portion of the absorbent is not situated in the central region, and the article is folded parallel to the longitudinal axis prior to disposition within the vestibule of the wearer as set forth in col. 6, lines 29 - 40, col. 13, lines 57 - 59 and figures 1, 4 - 5 and 9.

McFall discloses in col. 2, lines 29 – 33 that the main body portion of the article may be any shape including a triangular shape which would provide the article with a widest portion of the article in a region not situated in the central region. This configuration also provides the width at a narrowest portion (considered the tip of the triangle) which is smaller that the width at the widest portion (considered the base of the triangle) and also provides the end regions, the longitudinal sides and transverse ends that form the periphery.

With reference to claim 2, the examiner contends that the base of the triangular shaped absorbent article may be considered the widest portion and can also be considered (based on the general knowledge of a triangular shape) to be situated in the first end region.

Art Unit: 3761

Regarding claims 5, 13, 16, 31 and 33 – 34, see col. 9, lines 24 – 54 and figures 1 and 4.

Regarding claims 6 and 7, McFall discloses an absorbent article wherein the cover and the baffle have peripheries which extend outward beyond the periphery of the absorbent and are at least partially joined to form an edge as set forth in col. 11, lines 54 – 65.

As to claims 8, 17, 26 and 35, McFall discloses the absorbent further comprising a superabsorbent polymer as set forth in col. 9, line 63 to col. 10, line 14.

Regarding claims 9 – 10, 18 – 19, 27 – 28 see the rejection of claim 1.

As to claim 14, McFall discloses the absorbent comprising a fluid permeable cover as set forth in col. 8, lines 6 – 25.

With reference to claim 15, McFall discloses the cover enclosing the absorbent as set forth in figure 2.

With respect to claim 22, McFall discloses an absorbent that has an upper surface and a fluid permeable cover residing on the upper surface of the absorbent as set forth in col. 9, lines 24 – 25.

As to claim 32, McFall discloses an absorbent article further comprising a liquid impermeable baffle as set forth in col. 10, lines 46 – 65.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3761

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 – 4, 11 – 12, 20 – 21 and 29 – 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McFall et al. (US 6,432,096).

The difference between McFall and claim 3 is the provision that the narrowest portion of the absorbent article is situated in the central region of the absorbent and a second widest portion of the absorbent has a width and is situated in the second end region of the absorbent, and said width at the narrowest portion is smaller than said width of the second widest portion of the absorbent.

McFall discloses that the main body portion of the article can be any suitable configuration as set forth in col. 5, lines 29 – 30.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the configuration of the article provided by McFall to include a dog boned or hourglass shaped configuration because it is well known in the art that either of these configurations are routinely used to provide articles that better conform to the user's anatomy. Either the dog bone or hourglass configuration will provide the structure as claimed by the applicant.

With reference to claims 4, 12, 21 and 30, the examiner contends that either the dog bone or hourglass configuration will provide the structure as claimed by the applicant.

Regarding claims 11, 20 and 29, see the rejection of claim 3.

Art Unit: 3761

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Glasgow et al. (US 6,395,956) and Lassen (US 4,804,380) are cited to show the recognition in the art of providing a dog boned shape and/or hourglass shaped configuration with an interlabial pad.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**.

See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michele Kidwell whose telephone number is 703-305-2941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30am - 4:00pm.

ek Kidwell

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on 703-308-1957. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3590 for regular communications and 703-305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

August 15, 2003

PRIMARY EXAMINED